Please tick all that apply - are you responding to this consultation as:

- a) an elected member if so please indicate which local authority type(s) you serve on
- Town or Parish Council
- District or Borough Council
- Unitary Authority
- County Council
- Combined Authority / Combined County Authority
- Fire and Rescue Authority
- Police and Crime Panel
- Other local authority type please state

b) a council body – if so please indicate which local authority type

- Town or Parish Council
- District or Borough Council
- Unitary Authority
- County Council
- Combined Authority / Combined County Authority
- Fire and Rescue Authority
- Police and Crime Panel
- Other local authority type please state
- c) a member of the public
- d) a local government sector body please state

The proposal for remote attendance

The government intends to legislate to give local authorities the flexibility to allow elected members to attend formal council meetings remotely. We believe that this modernising measure of providing broad flexibility to enable remote attendance will have the dual positive impacts of diversifying the representation of those willing and

able to stand for elected office and enhance the resilience of local authorities in the face of local or national emergencies.

The intent is that this legislative change would give local authorities the flexibility to allow members to attend remotely.

Question 2

Do you agree with the broad principle of granting local authorities powers to allow remote attendance at formal meetings?

Yes/No

If you answered No to the above question please go directly to question 4.

MDDC	Yes

Question 3

If you answered Yes to the above question, do you think that there should be specific limitations on remote attendance?

Please tick all the options below that correspond with your view and use the free text box for any other comments.

- a) Any formal meeting allowing remote attendance should have at least two thirds of members in physical attendance.
- b) Members should only be able to attend council meetings remotely in exceptional circumstances, such as those who are medically or physically able to attend, or for reasons of local or national emergencies.
- c) There should be no limitations placed upon councils with regard to setting arrangements for remote attendance of council meetings, up to and including full remote attendance.

d) [Free text box]

MDDC	C, however, the council would
	probably seek to put in place local
	conventions that may include items
	such as requirements for the Chair to
	be present in the room and that the
	hybrid option should always be
	available rather than fully remote. We
	may also consider rules around
	members not attending physically for
	a prescribed consecutive amount of
	meeting as per substitution rule, in

as required.

If you are an elected member can you anticipate that you personally may seek to attend some of your council meetings remotely?

- yes
- no
- I am not an elected member

Question 4a N/A

If you answered No please use the free text below [Free text box]

Question 4b [Not applicable to Officers]

If you answered Yes, could you indicate below which of the following options best describes your likely pattern of attending meetings remotely

- very occasionally
- from time to time
- regularly but not always
- all the time

Question 5

If you are responding to this consultation on behalf of a council as a whole, what proportion of the council's current elected members are likely to seek to attend council meetings remotely over the course of a year?

- less than 10%
- more than 10% but less than 50%
- more than 50% but less than 90%
- most of them 90% to 100%

MDDC	More than 50% but less than 90%

Question 6

The government recognises that there may be cases in which it is necessary for councils to hold meetings fully remotely. Do you think there should be limitations placed on the number of fully remote meetings councils should be able to hold?

- a) Councils should be able to allow full remote attendance at up to half of council meetings within a twelve-month calendar period.
- b) Councils should only have the flexibility to change a meeting from in-person to online, or vice versa, due to unforeseen and exceptional circumstances.
- c) Councils should not have the flexibility to conduct fully remote meetings to ensure there is always an in-person presence.
- d) [Free text box)

MDDC	B, Councils should only have the
	flexibility to change a meeting from
	in-person to online, or vice versa,
	due to unforeseen and exceptional
	circumstances (in the normal spirit of
	keeping the public informed and
	public access etc).

Do you think there are there any necessary procedural measures that would help to ensure a remote or hybrid attendance policy is workable and efficient?

Please tick all the options that correspond with your view and use the free text box for any other comments.

- a) Councils should be required to publish a list of attendees joining the meeting remotely and give notice if a meeting is being held with full remote attendance.
- b) Councils should be required to ensure that standard constitutional arrangements are followed for hybrid and fully remote meetings.
- c) Councils should be required to make arrangements to ensure restricted items (where a council decision is taken in private to protect confidentiality) are managed appropriately and to require remotely attending members to join from a private location.
- d) Other [Free text box]

MDDC	B, C, D This Council would consider
	adopting similar rules to those of
	substitution, if members did not
	attend physically for a consecutive
	amount of meetings.

Do you think legislative change to allow councillors to attend local authority meetings remotely should or should not be considered for the following reasons?

Tick all the statements below that apply to your point of view.

Should be considered because	Should not be considered because
It is a positive modernising measure.	Councillors should be physically present at all formal meetings.
It would likely increase the diversity of people willing and able to stand for election in their local area, making councils more representative of the communities they serve	It could lead to a significant number of councillors habitually attending remotely and ultimately reduce the effectiveness of councils
Councils would be more resilient in the event of local or national emergencies which prevent in-person attendance	It would be more difficult for councillors to build personal working relationships with colleagues, and engage with members of the public in attendance at meetings.
Free text box – please state any other reasons	Free text box – please state any other reasons

MDDC	All of 'Should be considered
	because'

Question 9

In your view, would allowing councillors to attend formal local authority meetings remotely according to their needs particularly benefit or disadvantage individuals with protected characteristics, for example those with disabilities or caring responsibilities?

Please tick an option below:

- it would benefit members
- it would disadvantage members
- neither

Please use the text box below to make any further comment on this question. [Free text box]

MDDC It would benefit members

Proxy voting

Proxy voting is a form of voting whereby a member of a decision-making body may delegate their voting power to another representative to enable a vote in their absence.

It is possible some members may find that, due to their personal circumstances, they are temporarily unable to participate in meetings even if remote attendance provisions are in place. Provisions for proxy voting could provide additional flexibility to those who really need it on a time-limited basis, allowing affected members to indirectly exercise their democratic duty, participate in their local authority's governance, and ensure that their views are taken into consideration. In the context of local authorities, the representative would have to be another elected member of the local authority.

Question 10

In addition to provisions allowing for remote attendance, do you consider that it would be helpful to introduce proxy voting?

- yes
- no
- unsure

MDDC	No
111220	110

Question 11

If yes, for which of the following reasons which may prohibit a member's participation in council meetings do you consider it would be appropriate?

Please select all that apply:

- physical or medical conditions
- caring responsibilities
- parental leave or other responsibilities
- other [Free text box]

MDDC	N/A
	1 - T

Are there circumstances in which you feel proxy voting would not be appropriate?

[Free text box]

MDDC

Proxy voting is not considered appropriate. If what is being suggested by the proposals on proxy voting is for a councillor to appoint another councillor to attend and vote in the way that he/she is instructed to do so by the original member then that would seem entirely inappropriate and go against the principles of councillors coming to the decision with an open mind. If that isn't the case and the proxy would have free reign to vote as they see fit, then we already have a substitution scheme that allows members to appoint a fellow councillor to attend in their place and vote. Consideration also needs to be given to those committees where specialist training is given to members to allow them to sit eg; planning, licensing. Any proxy/substitution scheme should not override the need for members who are sitting on those committees to be appropriately trained.

The Council is not supportive of proxy voting. The introduction of remote meetings should negate the need for Proxy voting.

The issues that would arise if the Proportionality and Political balance was not balanced correctly. To have a ruling in place similar to Members being substituted for example Members shall ONLY attend no more than two consecutive meeting remotely.

Question 1	3	
------------	---	--

If you think proxy voting is appropriate, are there any limitations you think should be placed upon it?

[Free text box]

MDDC	N/A.